

**FRANKLIN COUNTY
COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM**

TO: BOARD OF FRANKLIN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	Reviewed:
FROM: Keith Clark	Ext: 8953
DEPARTMENT: Community Corrections	
DATE: Monday, September 28, 2009	No:

ITEM: Discuss the Kansas Department of Corrections (KDOC) – FY2009 Year End Outcome Report for the Fourth Judicial District Community Corrections.

Background: After the end of each fiscal year of the Fourth Judicial District Community Corrections is required to submit a Year End Outcome Report to KDOC. This report entitled Year End Outcomes for Fiscal Year 2009 documents the agency's progress toward meeting the objectives outlined in the agency's comprehensive plan. These objectives are unique to each judicial district and vary throughout the State of Kansas.

Recommended Action: Discuss the Kansas Department of Corrections (KDOC) – FY2009 Year End Outcome Report.

Attachments: FY2009 Year End Outcomes Report – KDOC

Kansas Department of Corrections

Community Corrections Services



Community Corrections Comprehensive Plan Fiscal Year 2009 Quarterly and Year End Outcome Report Format

Monitoring and evaluation of local agency and risk reduction initiatives with the purpose of determining success, and implementing changes where necessary, is a key component of successful implementation and sustainability. Utilizing research based processes to examine programs and methods proven to be effective (evidence based practices), and evaluation of the degree to which the plan is being implemented as it was intended, are required processes for local initiatives. In the Comprehensive Plan Grant Application, each funded agency explicitly agreed to provide complete and accurate data to the Kansas Department of Corrections (KDOC) regarding the initiative. On a quarterly basis, awardees are required to submit a document describing the progress of the local initiatives.

The Community Corrections Comprehensive Plan Quarterly and Year End Outcome Reports represent updates on the progress of each agency toward stated goals and objectives. These reports will provide agency directors, local stakeholders, and KDOC with information that can help improve efficiency, improve services, assist in effectively allocating resources, and assist in strengthening agency risk reduction practices.

Components of the Quarterly and Year End Outcome Reports

Community Corrections Agency

- State the name of the agency providing the quarterly report.

Implementation Goals

- This section should include those goals and objectives that address the planning and implementation of agency initiatives (e.g., policy development, staff training, securing space, developing partnerships/MOUs, etc.).
- State the implementation goal and objectives as listed in the monitoring and evaluation section of the Community Corrections Comprehensive Plan Grant Application.
- Discuss progress toward meeting each objective by providing data to indicate the degree to which the objective is being met.
- Discuss the activities that have occurred to move the agency toward meeting the stated objective.
- Discuss any challenges that have been encountered in working toward meeting the stated objectives.
- Discuss any modifications that the agency will be making to practice as a result of the information presented on progress toward meeting objectives.
- Copy and paste the reporting format as many times as necessary to accommodate the number of goals and objectives that the agency is working toward.

**Kansas Department of Corrections
Community Corrections Comprehensive Plan
Quarterly and Year End Outcome Report Format**

Community Corrections Agency: Fourth Judicial District Community Corrections

***Fiscal Year 2009
Report Period***

<u> </u> 1 st Quarter	July 1 st - September 30 th
<u> </u> 2 nd Quarter	October 1 st - December 31 st
<u> </u> 3 rd Quarter	January 1 st - March 31 st
<u> X </u> Year End	July 1 st - June 30 th

Implementation Goals

Goal: Provide assessment and timely feedback to agency staff and local stakeholders regarding the implementation / utilization of evidenced based practices through quality assurance mechanisms.

Objective #1: Implement quality assurance procedures to assess ISO's ability to incorporate motivational interviewing (MI) skills and principles into their daily activities on or before April 1, 2009.

Target Date: 4/01/2009

Progress: The agency contracted with the Carey Group to provide quality assurance related to motivational interviewing. Each staff member submitted three tapes for review during FY2009 and all scores and comments have been received from the contractual provider. Objective Met – Yes

Discussion / Current Activities: Each staff member will meet with a client and produce a 10-15 minute recording of their office visit. That recording is sent to the contractual provider, assessed and scored, with a follow-up 30 minute coaching session. The agency established baselines for comparison in FY2010.

Challenges: There were no challenges in the 4th quarter.

Modifications: There were no modifications in the 4th quarter.

Objective #2: Implement quality assurance procedures to assess ISO's ability to properly and accurately administer the LSI-R on or before April 1, 2009.

Target Date: 4/01/2009

Progress: The ISO II began assessing recordings of LSI-R interviews (initial, reassessment, discharge) in March 2009. Each staff member had three LSI-R assessments scored during FY2009. Objective Met - Yes

Objective #2: Develop an incentive program for offenders assigned to Community Corrections supervision on or before June 30, 2009.

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress: Community Corrections and Court Services have discussed this concept and plan to develop a proposal. This was not accomplished in FY2009 and the agency hopes to develop one in the first or second quarter of FY2010. Objective Met – No

Discussion / Current Activities: Both Community Corrections and Court Services have been provided examples; however, we were unable to accomplish this task in FY2009.

Challenges: One challenge is creating a tool that services both Community Corrections and Court Services. The second was time.

Modifications: There were no modifications in the 4th quarter.

Outcome Goals

Goal: Facilitate a cognitive skills program for adult offenders in the Fourth Judicial District.

Objective #1: Offenders who meet the target population or score Very High or High on the LSI-R domain of Attitudes and Orientation in FY2009 will be referred to the agency's next available cognitive education group.

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
NA	16	0	14	46

Discussion / Current Activities: A total of sixteen (16) offenders were referred to the agency's cognitive skills program during the 4th quarter, with forty-six (46) being referred to the program in FY2009. Objective Met – Yes

Challenges: One challenge has been scheduling a class in Coffey County, as space large enough to facilitate group is very limited.

Modifications: There were no modifications during the 4th quarter.

Objective #2: Existing and new staff will facilitate a minimum of eight (8) cognitive education groups in FY2009.

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
65%	NA	0%	100%	50%

Discussion / Current Activities: Of the eighteen (18) offenders that completed the class in FY2009, nine (9) or 50% lowered their LSI-R score in the Attitudes and Orientation domain (LSI-R score at time of referral compared to most recent reassessment or discharge LSI-R), with seven (7) or 39% remaining the same and two (2) or 11% increasing their score. Objective Met – No

Challenges: The pool was very small, and some individuals referred to the program had a low score in the Attitudes and Orientation domain that prohibited them from making advances (offenders in violation status).

Modifications: The agency has decided to switch curriculums in FY2010, adding T4C to its group. The agency will also evaluate the referral process, specifically those entering the group with a low score in the Attitudes and Orientation domain.

Goal: Facilitate an Offender Workforce Development Program (OWDP) for adult offenders in the Fourth Judicial District.

Objective #1: 70% of the offenders referred to the Offender Workforce Development Program in FY2009, who are available to participate, will successfully graduate from the program. (Successful graduation is identified as completing the intake and all five group sessions).

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
70%	88%	88%	0%	86%

Discussion / Current Activities: During the 4th quarter of FY2009, five (5) offenders were terminated from the OWDP. Of those 5, four (4) or 80% were successfully terminated. During FY2009, 25 of 29, or 86% were successfully terminated. **Objective Met - Yes**

Challenges: The program had to restart upon the return of the Risk Reduction Specialist.

Modifications: There were no modifications during the 4th quarter.

Objective #2: 50% of the offenders who successfully complete the Offender Workforce Development Program in FY2009, and are eligible to seek employment, will secure full-

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
75%	93%	100%	0%	96%

Discussion / Current Activities: During the 4th quarter of FY2009, four (4) offenders completed the post-test. Of those 4 offenders, four (4) or 100% increased their post-test scores as compared to their pre-test scores. During FY2009, 24 of 25 or 96% of the offenders that completed the pre and post-test increased their post-test scores as compared to their pre-test scores. **Objective Met - Yes**

Challenges: There were no challenges during the 4th quarter.

Modifications: There were no modifications in the 4th quarter

Objective #5: Of those offenders that successfully complete the Offender Workforce Development Program in FY2009, and are available to participate in an LSI-R reassessment or discharge LSI-R, 65% will lower their LSI-R score on the Education and Employment domain (LSI-R at the time of intake – compared to their discharge LSI-R or most recent).

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
65%	0%	47%	55%	42%

Discussion / Current Activities: During FY2009, LSI-R reassessments or discharges have been administered to thirty-eight (38) offenders, with sixteen (16) or 42% decreasing their LSI-R score in the Education / Employment Domain. **Objective Met - No**

Challenges: A challenge discovered during the 3rd quarter related to initial referral and LSI-R score in the Education and Employment domain. The LSI-R used to refer clients to the program doesn't always contain the most accurate data related to the offender's actual risk level. This is the result of no reassessment prior to referral and the fact that the client may have been doing well initially, lost their job and where then referred to the class.

Modifications: Any offender being referred to the program must have an updated assessment that indicates a level of risk in the Education / Employment domain. Additionally, the program will utilize raw LSI-R scores versus the level of risk applied to the raw score for measurement purposes in FY2010.

Goal: Maintain evidenced based programming that will increase the number of AISP successful completions in the Fourth Judicial District.

Objective #1: Reduce the number of conditional violators in the Fourth Judicial District by 25%, or 13 in FY2009.

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
90%	93%	92%	75%	93%

Discussion / Current Activities: During the 4th quarter twelve (12) offenders in Franklin County were eligible for this outcome. Of those 12 offenders, twelve (12) or 100% had their intake appointment within 10 working days of their assignment to Community Corrections. FY2009 40 of 43 or 93% **Outcome Met – Yes**

Challenges: There were no challenges in the 4th quarter.

Modifications: This outcome will not be included in the FY2010 comprehensive plan.

Goal: Initiate efforts to identify and apprehend absconders in the Fourth Judicial District.

Objective #1: 50% of the offenders identified as absconders in the Fourth Judicial District will be apprehended by law enforcement in FY2009 (July 1, 2008 / May 30, 2009 defines the fiscal year).

Target Date: 6/30/2009

Progress:

Target	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	Year End
50%	27%	Unknown	33%	41%

Discussion / Current Activities: The agency attempts to locate absconders from the 4th Judicial District by initiating a minimum of one (1) contact per month. Examples of contacts would include relatives, friends, record checks, websites, employers, etc. Through the first four quarters of FY2009 the agency has experienced sixty-six (66) absconder episodes with twenty-seven (27) or 41% being apprehended by law enforcement. The agency has also located an additional ten (10) or 15% of the absconders; however, local law enforcement was not willing to travel to apprehend these individuals. Therefore, the Fourth Judicial District Community Corrections has located / apprehended thirty-seven (37) or 56% of the absconding population.

Challenges: Many of the offenders have been absconded from supervision for a significant amount of time and their location is unknown and resources for making contact with them (i.e. friends, family, etc.) are quite limited. Another challenge is when the agency locates an offender, but local law enforcement is not willing to go get them.

Modifications: There were no modifications in the 4th quarter.